IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

)
IN RE 2014 RADIOSHACK ERISA	MASTER FILE NO. 4:14-cv-00959-O
LITIGATION)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	,)
ALL ACTIONS)
ALL ACTIONS))

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO THE RADIOSHACK DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR REFERRAL TO A MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR THE PURPOSE OF MEDIATION

Plaintiffs submit this response to Defendants' Motion for Referral to a Magistrate Judge for the Purpose of Mediation (ECF No. 136) (the "Motion"), filed December 17, 2015. The Court has set a hearing for December 21, 2015, "[t]o permit this motion to be resolved in a timely manner[.]" ECF No. 137 (the "Order"). While Plaintiffs wish to inform the Court of the context of the possibility of resolution discussions with Defendants, they want to make clear they do **not** oppose the relief sought by the Defendants and agree to setting a date for a mediation session before a magistrate, especially if it will incentivize/insure the parties' discussions in the near term.

By way of background, on or about December 7, 2015, Defendants' counsel called Plaintiffs' counsel and asked if Plaintiffs were willing to submit to a magistrate judge for mediation. Plaintiffs' counsel responded that they would consider the request but believed it would be more productive to use the same mediator, John Bickerman of Bickerman Dispute Resolution PLLC, who facilitated a partial settlement of this case with the Trustee Defendants, *see* Dkt. No. 129 at 1-2, or one of the handful of mediators in the country who specialize in

¹ As used herein, "Defendants" refers to the RadioShack Defendants as defined in the Motion.

ERISA cases of this nature to mediate the case. Indeed, Defendants are not opposed to the concept of a private mediator. Defendants' counsel have indicated that if given a "reasonable demand" by Plaintiffs, they would agree to private mediation.² Plaintiffs delivered what they believe to be a reasonable demand to Defendants' counsel today.

On December 15, Defendants' counsel advised by email that Defendants would formally seek a referral to a magistrate for a settlement conference. Then, on December 16, 2015, Defendants' counsel attached in an email a proposed correspondence to the Court requesting a referral to a magistrate and asked for Plaintiffs' position. Given the utilization of a private mediator to date in this case, Plaintiffs preferred to continue with that process and responded accordingly. The Motion, rather than the proposed correspondence, was filed the next day.

With respect to the recitals in the Motion, Plaintiffs agree with ¶¶ 1, 2, and 4 of Defendants' Motion (*i.e.* that the parties are required to mediate before February 23, 2016, and that referral to a magistrate judge will not otherwise impact this case). Plaintiffs believe that $\P\P$ 5 and 6 of Defendants' motion recite conclusions to which no response is required.

Plaintiffs believe that ¶ 3 of the Motion, positing that "mediation before a magistrate judge will offer a cost-effective alternative to private mediation while affording all parties the opportunity to engage in meaningful discussions concerning a potential resolution of the matter" is somewhat of an oversimplification. The majority of the counsel litigating this Action are located in or in relatively close proximity of the greater-Philadelphia, PA area, including Interim Lead Class Counsel Committee Chair and one of the two Interim Lead Class Counsel Committee Members. Additionally, two of the three Morgan Lewis partners representing Defendants are located in the greater-Philadelphia area. As noted above, one private mediator in Washington,

2

² Indeed, Defendants or their predecessors used a private mediator, the Hon. Joseph H. Hart (Ret.) to resolve the so-called *RadioShack I ERISA Litigation*.

D.C., is already intimately familiar with this Action, having mediated a partial settlement with

the Trustee Defendants. See Dkt. No. 129 at 1-2. The approximate costs involved in the

retention of this or any other similarly experienced and located private mediator for a day

includes travel costs that would likely require no more than train rides to Washington D.C. or

New York, where many of the mediators who specialize in resolution of cases of this nature are

located. The cost of travel and the cost of mediation in the Northeast will therefore likely be the

same or possibly less than using a mediator in the Dallas/Fort Worth area, which would include

significantly higher travel costs.

That said, in order to insure that the parties meet all deadlines, Plaintiffs would be happy

for the Court to set a date for a settlement conference before any of the District's capable

magistrate judges so that there is a date certain in place prior to the February 23, 2015 deadline

for mediation in case the parties are not ultimately agreeable to private mediation. Given that

Plaintiffs do not oppose the relief requested by Defendants, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the

Court take the scheduled December 21, 2015 hearing off calendar which Plaintiffs believe will

result in efficiency and savings in costs and time to the Court and parties.

Dated: December 18, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

s/Roger L. Mandel

Roger L. Mandel

State Bar No. 12891750

Bruce E. Bagelman

State Bar No. 01509700

LACKEY HERSHMAN, LLP

3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777

Dallas, TX 75219

Telephone: (214) 560-2201

Facsimile: (214) 560-2203

Email: rlm@lhlaw.net

heb@lhlaw.net

Interim Liaison Class Counsel

3

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK LLP

Edward W. Ciolko (*Pro Hac Vice pending*) Donna Siegel Moffa (*Pro Hac Vice pending*) Mark K. Gyandoh (*admitted Pro Hac Vice*) Julie Siebert-Johnson (*admitted Pro Hac Vice*) 280 King Of Prussia Road

Radnor, PA 19087

Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056 Email: eciolko@ktmc.com dmoffa@ktmc.com mgyandoh@ktmc.com

jsjohnson@ktmc.com

Interim Lead Class Counsel Committee Chair

Gerald D. Wells, III

Robert J. Gray

CONNOLLY WELLS & GRAY, LLP

(*Pro Hac Vice to be requested*) 2200 Renaissance Boulevard Suite 308

King of Prussia, PA 19406 Telephone: (610) 822-3700 Facsimile: (610) 822-3800 Email: gwells@cwg-law.com rgray@cwg-law.com

igity & cwg-law.com

Michael J. Klein (admitted Pro Hac Vice)

STULL, STULL & BRODY

6 East 45th Street

New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 687-7230

Facsimile: (212) 490-2022 Email: mklein@ssbny.com

Interim Lead Class Counsel Committee Members

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 18, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to registered CM/ECF participants.

/s/ Roger L. Mandel

Roger L. Mandel